

From: Jerry Hintza
To: Gale Chapman
Date: 9/14/99 1:11PM
Subject: Re: Mercury Analyses

If the EPA requires stack testing, we should definitely wait until next year for burn. I am preparing schedule and plan based on that assumption with delivery in early December and actual burn in early January.

>>> Patti Kimes <Patti_Kimes@radian.com> 09/14/99 10:00AM >>>
Everyone,

Below is an e-mail I received from EPA regarding the pet. coke analyses, stating that fuel analyses would not be required if the pet. coke is received in 1999, but not burned until 2000.

If the test burn is performed in 1999, testing is required. The data collected would be entered into EPA's database as petroleum coke. The database will be used by EPA to determine if a standard for mercury should be established. Use of pet. coke by a power plant for a test burn would not skew the overall data.

I asked Mr. Maxwell about a stack test, and he stated that a stack test would also be required, if the pet. coke is burned in 1999. The e-mail shown below does not address stack testing because Mr. Maxwell did not know who the source was, and not all power plants are required to undergo stack tests for the mercury study. I am waiting for verification on the stack testing issue.

Please call if you have questions (801) 261-9483.

Thanks,

Patti Kimes
Radian

Forward Header

Subject: Mercury Analyses -Reply
Author: BILL MAXWELL <MAXWELL.BILL@epamail.epa.gov> at INTERNET Date:
9/10/1999 2:25 PM
CC: AUTRY.LARA@epamail.epa.gov at INTERNET
CC: GRIMLEY.WILLIAM@epamail.epa.gov at INTERNET
CC: VETTER.RICK@epamail.epa.gov at INTERNET
CC: ColeId@RTI.ORG at INTERNET

Our purpose in developing the ICR was to establish mercury emissions over calendar year 1999. If the facility has not burned, and will not burn, pet coke during 1999 and is just getting it for a test burn during 2000, then they will not have to analyze the pet coke under the ICR. However, if their plans change and they conduct the test burn during 1999, they would be subject to the analysis provisions of the ICR for the pet coke just as they currently are for their coal.

provisions of the ICR for
the pet coke just as they currently are for their coal.

>>> Patti Kimes <Patti_Kimes@radian.com> 09/07/99 01:36pm >>>
Bill,

As we discussed on the phone, I have a client (a power plant) who is required to collect analyses for the coal received at their facility per the information collection request approved by the Office of Management and Budget. The facility burns only coal; however, they are proposing to perform a test burn involving petroleum coke sometime in calendar year (CY) 2000. They will receive the petroleum coke in CY 1999.

The facility would like to know whether or not they must perform analyses on the petroleum coke received in CY 1999. The facility has never received or burned petroleum coke in the past.

Thank you for your help.

Patti Kimes
Radian International
patti_kimes@radian.com

CC: Patti Kimes <Patti_Kimes@radian.com>